

FILE NO.: SCT-6004-12
DATE: 20150910

**SPECIFIC CLAIMS TRIBUNAL
TRIBUNAL DES REVENDICATIONS PARTICULIÈRES**

BETWEEN:)
)
BLOOD BAND, ALSO KNOWN AS)
KAINAIWA OR KAINAI FIRST) Joanne F. Crook, for the Claimant
NATION, THE BLOOD TRIBE OR THE)
BLOOD INDIAN BAND)
As represented by the Chief and)
Councillors of the Blood Band)
)
)
Claimant)
) Lisa D. Cholosky, for the Respondent
- and -)
)
HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT)
OF CANADA)
As represented by the Minister of Indian)
Affairs and Northern Development)
)
)
Respondent)
)
) **HEARD:** September 8, 2015

ENDORSEMENT

Honourable W.L. Whalen

A Case Management Conference (CMC) was held by teleconference on September 8, 2015, at 11:00 A.M., Eastern Time (Ottawa).

[1] The Claimant reported that it has produced its documents to the Respondent. The Claimant indicated that in reviewing the documents already produced by the Respondent, it noticed that some documents referred to in the produced documents were not among the documents produced by the Respondent. The Claimant will provide the Respondent with a list of these additional documents on or before **October 30, 2015**, and the Respondent will investigate whether those documents exist.

[2] As previously discussed with Gilbert Eagle Bear, counsel for the Claimant, the Respondent has undertaken transcription of illegible documents by its own paralegal staff for its own purposes and had agreed to share its transcriptions to convenience the Claimant. The Respondent will transcribe illegible documents it has produced to the Claimant. The Respondent reported that it has transcribed 31 of approximately 470 documents. Both Parties will complete and produce copies of their transcriptions on or before **December 31, 2015**. The Parties should then review each other's transcriptions and identify those with which they have problems. They should then work cooperatively to locate better copies or agree on the wording if possible. Progress will be reviewed at the next CMC.

[3] The Parties agreed that the need for oral history evidence and the question of whether there should be a separate oral history evidence hearing might be resolved through the contents of an Agreed Statement of Facts. It is therefore premature to proceed with the preparation of oral history evidence.

[4] The Parties agreed that they will work co-operatively on the preparation of an Agreed Statement of Facts and an Agreed Statement of Issues (including what should be resolved at the validity hearing). Progress will be reviewed at the next CMC.

[5] The Claimant reported that it has met with an expert but has not completed a retainer or provided terms of reference. Progress will be reviewed at the next CMC.

[6] The next CMC will be held by teleconference on **January 8, 2016**, at 11:00 A.M., Eastern Time (Ottawa).

W.L. WHALEN

Honourable W.L. Whalen